

## REMARKS

Claims 8-14 are withdrawn. Claims 1-7 and 15-21 are pending.

### Response to Restriction Requirement

The instant Office Action states that the present Application contains two distinct inventions related as a process of making and a product made. As such, the Applicants are required to elect a single invention for examination. Specifically, the Examiner is requiring the Applicants to elect between Invention I, recited in Claims 1-7 and 15-21, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in Class 257, subclass 612, and Invention II, recited in Claims 8-14, drawn to a method, classified in Class 438, subclass 276.


Applicants elect without traverse Invention I, recited in Claims 1-7 and 15-21, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in Class 257, subclass 612.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Date: 8/27/04

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP



William A. Zarbis  
Reg. No. 46,120

Two North Market Street  
Third Floor  
San Jose, California 95113  
(408) 938-9060